

# PECSim 2026

PAEDIATRIC EMERGENCIES SIMULATION – COURSE FEEDBACK REPORT

**23**

RESPONSES

**4.96**

OVERALL RATING  
( / 5)

**100%**

WOULD  
RECOMMEND

**0**

BIAS REPORTS

**8**

SCENARIOS

## General Course Feedback

Pre-course communication	4.87
Facilities	4.74
Catering	4.74
Facilitators – helpful and knowledgeable	4.83
Adequate time per scenario	4.87
Evidence of bias observed	0%

## Scenario Ratings

SC 1 · COLLAPSED NEONATE  
(COARCTATION)

SC 2 · BRONCHIOLITIS / DOPES

**4.89** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.5
Instructors	5.0
Realism	4.8
Relevance	4.9
Debrief	5.0

**4.82** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.3
Instructors	5.0
Realism	4.9
Relevance	4.9
Debrief	4.9

SC 3 · SEIZURE / RAISED ICP (NAI)

**4.90** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.5
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	5.0
Debrief	5.0

SC 4 · SEPSIS (GROUP A STREP)

**4.92** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.6
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	5.0
Debrief	5.0

SC 5 · CARDIAC ARREST / POST RESUS CARE

**4.91** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.6
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	4.9
Debrief	5.0

SC 6 · TCA OVERDOSE

**4.90** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
Equipment	4.6
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	5.0
Debrief	5.0

SC 7 · TRAUMA

**4.95** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
----------	-----

SC 8 · ASTHMA

**4.92** / 5 avg

Prepared	5.0
----------	-----

Equipment	4.9
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	5.0
Debrief	5.0

Equipment	4.6
Instructors	5.0
Realism	5.0
Relevance	5.0
Debrief	5.0

## Participant Feedback — AI Summary

### AI-GENERATED SYNTHESIS OF REFLECTIVE NOTES & SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Participants responded to PECSim 2026 with near-universal enthusiasm, describing the day as among the most challenging and rewarding simulation courses they had attended. The dominant learning themes centred on advanced management of the critically unwell child — particularly airway physiology, peri-intubation haemodynamic optimisation, and refractory presentations — alongside strong appreciation for the multidisciplinary team dynamics that the course deliberately cultivates. Confidence was a recurring outcome, with multiple participants noting that working through high-acuity scenarios to completion in a safe environment addressed gaps that rarely get reached in real clinical practice. The quality of facilitation and debrief was consistently highlighted as a core strength of the programme.

## Suggestions for Improvement — AI Summary

### AI-GENERATED SYNTHESIS OF PARTICIPANT SUGGESTIONS

The overwhelming majority of participants offered no meaningful criticism, with several explicitly stating there was nothing to improve. The small number of constructive suggestions clustered around simulation fidelity rather than content — specifically trolley organisation, availability of consumables such as cannulas and blood bottles, and a suggestion that a brief written synopsis of the theoretical basis for each case would add value. One participant's most substantive

suggestion was simply to run the course twice a year, reflecting unmet demand rather than any dissatisfaction with the programme itself.

## Participant Demographics

SPECIALTY	ROLE		
Paediatrics	12	StR	10
Adult EM	8	Staff Nurse / ANP	5
Adult ICU	2	Assoc. Specialist	3
Nursing / ANP	3	Consultant	3
		CT1-2	2
REGION	HOW HEARD ABOUT COURSE		
Northern Ireland	19	paediatricemergencies.com	12
NW England	2	Colleague recommendation	6
Estonia	2	Hospital notice	2
Other	1	Email newsletter	1
		Social media	1

## Overall Results

**4.96 / 5**

OVERALL COURSE RATING (MEAN)

**100%**

WOULD RECOMMEND TO A COLLEAGUE

**0%**

REPORTED BIAS

